

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark, Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,702	10/03/2003	Roger L. Roberts	G0083.70038 US00	9854
23628	7590 02/01/2005		EXAMINER	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2211			SOTOMAYOR, JOHN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i></i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/678,702	ROBERTS, ROGER L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
\	John B. Sotomayor	3662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· · ·	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	i Ex parte Quayle, 1905 C.D. 1	11, 433 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 May 2004</u> is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•			
	Examiner. Note the attached C	onice Action of form 1 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(5)	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/678,702 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

٠,٠

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed May 26, 2004 appear to be formal and are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plummer et al (CA '597) in view of Doerksen et al ('170).

The invention is substantially met by Plummer et al (CA '597) who disclose a method and apparatus for determining at least one coordinate of a movable sensor including, inter alia, a GPR system (15) in combination with an optical system such as FLIR (forward looking infrared) camera or video camera (see Figure 2). The system also includes a lane marking system 70. However, it appears that the Plummer et al (CA '597) does not show a positioning marker as claimed.

To this end, Examiner applies Doerksen et al ('170) to specifically show determining the position of a movable sensor including, inter alia, positioning markers (see paragraph [0016]). Doerksen et al ('170) also disclose various marker systems including a tape measure or marked string (see paragraph [0005]).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,702

Art Unit: 3662

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the sensor system of Plummer et al (CA '597) by including the parker positioning system as taught and as motivated by Doerksen et al ('170) in order to improve the detection of

underground objects.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art show various radar systems.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Sotomayor whose telephone number is 703-306-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom H. Tarcza, can be reached on 703-306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/678,702

Art Unit: 3662

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John B. Sotomayor **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3662